visitation where we did art projects at
(I can't remember the date)

I forgot to document the details on this one, but as you can clearly see, we are making art projects and sitting on make shift furniature we made out of cushions since they didn't have kid furniature at all there. Some pictures were taken and sent to me. It was winter time I believe. Then we worked on her plush cat toy since she claims that no one at her dads or his parents will help her, and when talking about when she would ask them for help working on it or if she could, she appeared afraid at the subject matter of talking to them, Kevin and his family for help. She got this scaraed look on her face as if she wouldn't even want to be close to them even if they offered help. So she doesn't even feel safe working on a project I brought for her, at their house either because a. they are mean to her and deny her the right to play with it. She said they wouldn't let her. B. They don't care about her feelings or to do stuff one on one with her and are negelctful and/ or mean. Or C. They have something personal against me and don't want her doing soemthing that reminds them of or has anything to do with me.

Hope House Visitation

Court ordered supervised visitation between Julia and Madeline

Supervised visitation between mother, Julia Eickmeier and her daughter, Madeline Kramer.
Court ordered supervised visitation between mother and daughter was court ordered on (Date)
A month later, Eric Miskell, the mothers attorney just told her about it at the _____ Hearing. He informed her to immediately call the hope house to leave a message to initiate the visitations, so we could begin seeing each other. On this court date, the supervised visitations were court ordered to be from 11am – 1pm on every Tuesday and Thursday.

Hope House Facility
Info:

Concerns

During about four or so of the visits, Julie, the supervised visitation monitor noticed reoccurring signs of the child displaying sexual abuse.

After about the fourth time, where the child went to her own area in the room and started humping one of the plastic balls, despite being offered things to do and social interaction, that is when Julie informed me that she had been keeping notes and believed it was time to inform her supervisor about her concerns.

After that, I received no phone calls about when visitation would be scheduled. Instead of following the court order, they were just calling me weekly or bi weekly to schedule supervised visits. I think about the firsts two weeks there was some once a week, maybe once twice a week and some spaced out about two weeks. After the concerns were brought up to the supervisor, this worker Julie, was fired and the mother Julia was not paid any contact about when to expect another visitation for about 3 weeks. This is alarming.

If a place that is directed by the state to ensure the safety of children was informed about possible sexual abuse signs, and they fire the worker, ignore the case and the mom, that is really concerning.

Later, another worker, namely Trina Fundsonn got ahold of me and told me she was the replacement for Ms. Rashad. How funny is that how she got fired, or let go and replaced with a worker after she was allegedly to bring up sexual abuse concerns to her supervisor.

I asked the new visitation supervisor if Julie had made aware to her the concerns she told me she would talk to her supervisor about and she told me no, that she hadn't.

We went ahead with scheduling new visitations, and I tried to briefly inform her, I did not like this new lady's character at all. She seemed shady beyond belief. She was dirty and mangy, and under groomed, often un-showered looking in appearance, ratty hair, smelly, (etc.) She informed me that she had been let go from a similar facility, had not worked in a while and volunteered at a cat shelter and this was her first job she had in a while. To me, that seemed under professional. Her domineer wasn't far off from that and it made me more uncomfortable than I feel I should have to be in a facility like that.

When it would be time to leave, she would rush Madeline suddenly while yelling at her to put her shoes on in an angry tone and rushing manner which startled the child half the time.

In further visitations after I began to notice a pattern with her doing that, I made Madeline aware of the clock and tried teaching her about the hands being hour and minute and explained how we had five more minutes and it would be time to go, to prepare her. It was taught this method by Chris Beetz Mendota, IL preschool and parenting class teacher as a method to prepare children for the days ahead to make them more confident was they are not that aware of time at that age. Ms. Beetz of Mendota and the preschool she worked at is where I got one of my two parenting class certificates from, and she often came to our house for in home visits, kid pay groups and parenting classes (etc.) any ways she is who taught me to prepare the child like I was attempting to do to avoid her getting yelled at by Trina the visitation supervisor.

Regarding Trina, I just I also personally didn't like this stranger, supposedly child visitation monitor using the end of the visitation as a time for her to impose her power over my child by yelling at her in an angry thus abuse like tone. I would never be that mean to a child and especially not in a position like hers.

I did this to avoid her getting startled and yelled at by Trina. At the next visitation, the clock was taken off the wall and a picture of two horses was replaced on the wall, the clock nowhere to be found in what appeared to be an attempt to poke at me or was directed at me in some sort of way. As if they didn't approve of my parenting methods. This was close to the end of the visitations.

I got tired of all of the drama and stopped documenting for a while, but pictures were taken for some which I added.

One visitation which bothered me and I didn't find time to jot down what happened. Was when trina was monitoring. Toward the end, Madeline said that she would get touched on her crotch in the Garage with papa, and that he would give her candy for it. I made mention of this to Trina how Julie and I had noticed these concerns from her in the past and all she said was to Madeline, was that she asked Madeline if it felt good or if she had fun or something like that Hmmm, I wonder what she stands for.

That is about it. I don't believe I wrote down all the specifics on the sings she showed, namely a couple of days I believe I didn't document a few of them, but I do recall her showing them, Ms. Rashad making aware she saw them, mentioning she would tell her supervisor and allegedly getting fired from her job or something for bringing awareness to it. The whole situation given the sperm like pictures on the door was overall just sketchy.

They pegged me out at the end like I was some kind of bad guy or something which could lead on to show that their priorities are really screwed up. The mother in general shouldn't have had supervised visitation, due to her telling on the father for sexually abusing her, signs were backed by doctors and witnesses, ignored, downplayed and mother criminalized by DCFS for sticking up for her daughter, when it's their job, as well as YSB and the law to protect children from sexual abuse. Sexual abuse is not healthy and against the law for many solid reasons.

The mother should not be criminalized for being a follower of the law, aiming her efforts at providing the best for her daughter including protecting in line with and according to the law, essentially what these facility workers should be doing, but none were and so it seemed like the mother was essentially doing their job for them. Either they were lazy, don know how to correctly handles these situations or were purposely doing nothing.

When the child showed sings at the facility, they were ignored and mother was made out to look like the bad guy. When mother is acting according to law, that shouldn't outweigh the child showing abuse signs, which was ignored and downplayed by all these individuals.

1. Brief explanation of events leading up to the supervised visits. (DCFS gross case mishandling and the like)

2. DCFS finding of abuse to be true about mom one day after report was made about concerns that father was abuser.

3. **October 05, 2016** OP Hearing transcript which proves a DCFS worker falsified their testimony to grant the father and alleged abuser, custody. She explains that if they followed proper protocol and had the case evaluated at shelter care hearing, child would be returned to mom.

4. **October 05, 2016** Emergency OP and court order.

5. **November 30, 2016** Court order for supervised visitation and events surrounding.

6. Brief summary and general information gathered from the Hope house visitation, series of events etc.

7. **December 08, 2016** Initial meeting was had between mom and supervised visit monitor. Paper work exchanged, signed and pictures generated by mom from inside the Hope House Facility which raised concerns.

8. **December 14, 2016** 1st visitation between mom and child.

9. **December 31, 2016** 2nd visitation which were now being held at YSB instead of Larson Building. YSB continues to be the supervised visitation place for rest of visitations.

10. **January 09, 2017** 3rd visitation

11. **January 23, 2017** 4th visitation

12. **January 29, 2017** 5th visitation

13. **February 04, 2017** 6th visitation

14. **February 15, 2017** A visitation was set but the mom was late for it and fathers schedule prevented a later visit so another one was scheduled in place of this dates

15. **April 03, 2017**

16. **April 10, 2017**

17. List of Text messages between Hope house workers and mother.

Hope House Visitation Summary:

An OP was entered against the mom on October 4th, 2016. Prior to that there had been several allegations made that the father was sexually abusing their daughter. Each report was made by several different people, some including yet several excluding mom. None of these allegations was thoroughly investigated or handled properly by authorities.

This caused more abuse report to be made and DCFS to flip the last report made regarding the father sexually abusing the child again, to be made about mom, DCFS officials, non-doctors and non-psychologists, writing that she had Munchausen due to several of their prior investigations against dad going unfounded due to inappropriate and unthorough investigation techniques.

For the meantime, while the case is being fought out in LaSalle county court house in Ottawa IL, the mother was made to have supervised visitation with the child at the Hope House in Ottawa IL. (On Madison street, right down the street a way from mom's attorney and the downtown court house where the case is being hear at by judge Karen Eiten.

The supervised visitation may have proven more helpful to have been offered to the father earlier on when things kept being reported regarding there being a concern that he may be sexually abusing. This could have offered a supervising technique to monitor the behavior between the parties, instead of used as a weapon to help in the process of criminalizing the mom, the only one the child can truly count on to protect her and stand up for her. A concern of sexual abuse is far greater than a report by mom, backed by several other witnesses and medical professionals validating that the moms concern for sexual abuse was real, the sings the child was showing, was consistently viewed by multiple people etc. This was a real tragedy and mishandling of on DCFS's part.

During the scope of the Hope house visitations, the mom had noticed some disturbing findings.

First, when she made contact with them and met with them at the Brandon house in Ottawa IL to get the visitations set up, she began to notice something odd.

The mom first met with Julie Rashad from the Hope house, in efforts with YSB, at the hope house which is located in what is called the Larson building, or the Brandon building (it has two names) in Ottawa IL. This facility is located directly across the street from the Youth Service Bureau facility.

When the mom first arrived, she was left waiting in the hope house by herself while Julie was on the phone with someone briefly for about

half an hour. Case notes from another person's file was left out in the open. The mother noticed that the carpet was severely dirty, stained and needed cleaning. She also noticed that there was what looked like pictured of sperm facing the inside of the visitation room on the lower half of the door so the small children could maybe see. (not exactly sure) She took pictures of this as it was a little alarming concerning.

When she finally met with Julie, the conversation went well. She was given some start up papers to sign and to possibly write about any concerns for abuse she was having. Julie told the mom that upon arrival to the visitation there that she should sit on the ground (which was filthy) and wait for the child to arrive, (to be on her level). The mother asked why the carpet was o dirty to while Ms. Rashad explains that it only gets cleaned about once a year. This seemed rather odd to the mom, as it was a child geared facility yet not being cleaned properly. The mom offered to bring her steam vacuum in and clean the place and Ms. Rashad informed her that there was a steam cleaner in the office yet still for some reason the floors only got cleaned about once a year. This seems entirely inappropriate for one, safety reasons, and two, derogatory to have a cleaner and not clean it or let it remain dirty all but once a year, and tell the visiting parent to sit on the filthy ground. It just seemed a little strange to the mom. Also, the place didn't seem very child friendly and instead that it seemed it was more for like adult conversations, and the seating to where the little kids, having not much else to do, could run up to where to parents were sitting between their legs or cuddle on the couch or whatever (more pedophiliac oriented,) then upright, educational friendly, (good mom child rearing) type visitation environment. I didn't deserve to have the visitations there in the first place, and at home I was used to having my house set up in a preschool type of environment to where the child can have something to write on, learn and play with puzzles and what not (Show pictures) At the hope house there was nothing like this, no child furniture, no table tops at all. I wonder what is used to commonly going on in there.

Julia Expressed to Ms. Rashad that she wished there was a table for them to write on, and she explained that at times when they want to do an art project or whatever that the kitchen would be open to allow them the ability to go in there and use the table. The building was quite large but the room itself, considered the hope house was small. When the mom had her first meeting there, (I believe only one or may be visit was had at this place. She was told that there was a business meeting in the kitchen and they couldn't use it. Why use the room where the kit can write and draw on when there were other rooms in the building to have meeting at. The child wanted to color, draw and play with playdough on their first visit, a common thing the mom and childlike do play together and they struggled to find adequate chairs,

seating or tables and used cushions, as makeshift tables, making the visitation more awkward and uncomfortable than it needed to be. When the mom offered to donate furniture and new toys for the children, she was told by Ms. Rashad that she could not, so the visitations continued to be more uncomfortable than they had to be. It's unknown if this was a singling out of the mother or policy among people having supervised visitation. The mother afterwards heard through the community that they were in need of donations, yet hers were declined for some reason.

Futher more, the visitations were first held in the Larson building, and then upon arrival of one of the other visitations, the mom was paid a message by Julia Rashad that they would no longer be held at the Hope house (Larson or Brandon) building and instead we would meet across the street at the YSB, Youth service Bureau center. She mentioned this had something to due to a lack of funding or abilities to pay bills or something like that I am not exactly sure.

During about four or so of the visits, Julie, the supervised visitation monitor noticed reoccurring signs of the child displaying sexual abuse.

After about the fourth time, where the child went to her own area in the room and started humping one of the plastic balls, despite being offered things to do and social interaction, that is when Julie informed me that she had been keeping notes and believed it was time to inform her supervisor about her concerns.

After that, I received no phone calls about when visitation would be scheduled. Instead of following the court order, they were just calling me weekly or bi weekly to schedule supervised visits. I think about the firsts two weeks there was some once a week, maybe once twice a week and some spaced out about two weeks. After the concerns were brought up to the supervisor, this worker Julie, was fired and the mother Julia was not paid any contact about when to expect another visitation for about 3 weeks. This is alarming. If a place that is directed by the state to ensure the safety of children was informed about possible sexual abuse signs, and they fire the worker, ignore the case and the mom, that is really concerning.

Later, another worker, namely Trina Fundsonn got ahold of me and told me she was the replacement for Ms. Rashad. How funny is that how she got fired, or let go and replaced with a worker after she was allegedly to bring up sexual abuse concerns to her supervisor.

I asked the new visitation supervisor if Julie had made aware to her the concerns she told me she would talk to her supervisor about and she told me no, that she hadn't.

We went ahead with scheduling new visitations, and I tried to briefly inform her, I did not like this new lady's character at all. She seemed shady beyond belief. She was dirty and mangy, and under groomed, often un-showered looking in appearance, ratty hair, smelly, (etc.) She informed me that she had been let go from a similar facility, had not worked in a while and volunteered at a cat shelter and this was her first job she had in a while. To me, that seemed under professional. Her domineer wasn't far off from that and it made me more uncomfortable than I feel I should have to be in a facility like that.

When it would be time to leave, she would rush Madeline suddenly while yelling at her to put her shoes on in an angry tone and rushing manner which startled the child half the time.

In further visitations after I began to notice a pattern with her doing that, I made Madeline aware of the clock and tried teaching her about the hands being hour and minute and explained how we had five more minutes and it would be time to go, to prepare her. It was taught this method by Chris Beetz Mendota, IL preschool and parenting class teacher as a method to prepare children for the days ahead to make them more confident was they are not that aware of time at that age. Ms. Beetz of Mendota and the preschool she worked at is where I got one of my two parenting class certificates from, and she often came to our house for in home visits, kid pay groups and parenting classes (etc.) any ways she is who taught me to prepare the child like I was attempting to do to avoid her getting yelled at by Trina the visitation supervisor.

Regarding Trina, I just I also personally didn't like this stranger, supposedly child visitation monitor using the end of the visitation as a time for her to impose her power over my child by yelling at her in an angry thus abuse like tone. I would never be that mean to a child and especially not in a position like hers.

I did this to avoid her getting startled and yelled at by Trina. At the next visitation, the clock was taken off the wall and a picture of two horses was replaced on the wall, the clock nowhere to be found in what appeared to be an attempt to poke at me or was directed at me in some sort of way. As if they didn't approve of my parenting methods. This was close to the end of the visitations.

I got tired of all of the drama and stopped documenting for a while, but pictures were taken for some which I added.

One visitation which bothered me and I didn't find time to jot down what happened. Was when trina was monitoring. Toward the end, Madeline said that she would get touched on her crotch in the Garage with papa, and that he would give her candy for it. I made mention of this to

Trina how Julie and I had noticed these concerns from her in the past and all she said was to Madeline, was that she asked Madeline if it felt good or if she had fun or something like that Hmmm, I wonder what she stands for.

That is about it. I don't believe I wrote down all the specifics on the sings she showed, namely a couple of days I believe I didn't document a few of them, but I do recall her showing them, Ms. Rashad making aware she saw them, mentioning she would tell her supervisor and allegedly getting fired from her job or something for bringing awareness to it. The whole situation given the sperm like pictures on the door was overall just sketchy.

They pegged me out at the end like I was some kind of bad guy or something which could lead on to show that their priorities are really screwed up. The mother in general shouldn't have had supervised visitation, due to her telling on the father for sexually abusing her, signs were backed by doctors and witnesses, ignored, downplayed and mother criminalized by DCFS for sticking up for her daughter, when it's their job, as well as YSB and the law to protect children from sexual abuse. Sexual abuse is not healthy and against the law for many solid reasons.

The mother should not be criminalized for being a follower of the law, aiming her efforts at providing the best for her daughter including protecting in line with and according to the law, essentially what these facility workers should be doing, but none were and so it seemed like the mother was essentially doing their job for them. Either they were lazy, don know how to correctly handles these situations or were purposely doing nothing.

When the child showed sings at the facility, they were ignored and mother was made out to look like the bad guy. When mother is acting according to law, that shouldn't outweigh the child showing abuse signs, which was ignored and downplayed by all these individuals.

[1]

Explanation of events leading up to Supervised visitation

From 2013 to present, DCFS mishandled several sexual abuse investigations on dad. Multiple people reported seeing signs of this which included mom, babysitter, close friend, medical staff and a counselor. Mom was not the only originator of the reports being made.

DCFS unfairly closed these investigations and listed them unfounded. Some reports were even listed as false reporting and harassment.

DCFS failed in meet with a reporting party prior to closing out and unfinding one investigation. Another, the report was immediately unfounded, and marked as false reporting before a proper investigation was done. In that case, mom had evidence which she had begged four DCFS and YSB personnel to look at and they all denied, claiming they wouldn't do so because they already unfounded the case. This is highly unfair. That case was marked as false reporting despite the evidence offered being able to show otherwise.

Another reason why the cases were unfairly unfounded, were because the evidence listed within the report showing that the abuse did occur, was listed and outweighed what evidence they gathered which showed the incident did not occur. They were not acting according to proper protocol the whole time.

DCFS in DuPage county, when handling similar matters are said to intervene, offering supervised visitation for the alleged victim with perpetrator. The reason being because sexual abuse signs are so hard to catch early on, 99% of positive sexual abuse cases show no physical signs, and the long term effects of abuse being allowed to occur is worse than temporarily stopping unsupervised visitation with alleged abusive party in order to do an investigation This was reported to mom by DCFS sexual abuse case handling trainer, Sangita Rangala, head of pediatrics at Edwards Hospital and Head of sexual abuse investigations for the Illinois FBI. The same state, handles the same cases different in different DCFS counties. That is because one region may have better resources than the other Sangita explained she was the educator for DCFS throughout IL on how to handle the investigations and had not yet gotten to LaSalle or other southern counties sin Illinois on newfound ways to handle investigations. Mom met with Local DCFS to show them evidence of sexual abuse signs mom had which matched the signs on their website of sexual abuse plus as for a referral to a DCFS approved counselor from Rockford or Winnebago county, like the places Rangala had told mom about. These places would offer noninvasive counseling and professional psychological viewpoints as to whether there is concern for abuse going on.

October 05th 2016

Emergency hearing and approval of order of protection, keeping mom away from child. Later on Nov 30. 2016 Supervised visits were offered by court order.

An OP was entered against the mom- protecting the child from her. What led up to this is that there were several prior investigations of allegations made that the father was potentially sexually abusing their common child. Reports were made by several different people other than mom yet DCFS acted as if the mom was the one who caused all of them. Prior to that there had been several allegations made that the father was sexually abusing their daughter. These reports went unthoroughly investigated by authorities.

This caused even more allegations and report to be made against the father in regard to him sexually abusing the minor child. Witnesses in these cases went unquestioned before closing and unfinding several cases and usually the evidence within showed supporting sign s of abuse outweighed that there weren't any signs yet this went continually ignored by authorities.

On or about October 03, 2016. the child returned from visitation showing signs similar to that of sexual abuse and complaining of genital pain, (etc.) which promoted mom for evidence gathering since if she just documented it, no one would probably believe her. She was informed by two Peru P.D. officers she would need tangible physical evidence if they were able to anything to intervene and help her or child and that her word alone was not enough and also considered hearsay.

No colposcope was necessary. The doctor verified signs the child came home displaying minus genital pain and refereed the call to Peru P.D. stating that there was a concern of the father sexually abusing the child again and a report was made. When forwarded to DCFS personnel of Ottawa IL, prior to an investigator being allowed to go out and investigate it, the matter for investigating had to first get approved by the supervisor which in this case was Denise.

She had apparently called her supervisor to see if they could get the report of abuse switched a round from being made against dad to being made against mom since there were prior unfounded investigations against dad, although most of these were unfairly conducted or evidence supporting allegation s was never taken into consideration.

Now we have a doctor in a report with a child's soiled underwear take into evidence and they are wanting to flip a report around from being about dad to being about mom having Munchausen They made this up amongst themselves, no doctor's diagnosis, and due to prior unfounded reports against dad.

Munchausen is imagines or false signs, and here doctors had corroborating evidence as well as several other reports. DCFS chose to ignore all of them and didn't even wait for this case's specimens to be tested before assuming the dad was innocent.

Unfounded reports doesn't constitute Munchausen as it doesn't fit the description. It warrants a case evaluation of overlooked evidence in the unfounded reports and how those cases were handled by a DCFS administrative or supreme judge. That very well could have happened at the proper DCFS shelter care hearing which should have been held after them taking protective custody of the child, as it is the protocol.

Protective custody by the way doesn't mean place child in direct harms wat, as the father in this case went on to be investigated for sexually abuse after DCFS placing the child with him, despite them flipping the report around to being made about mom.

Summary of Hope House Visitations:

An OP was entered against the mom ~~on October 5th~~ of 2016. ~~Prior to that~~ there had been several allegations made that the father was sexually abusing their daughter. Each report was made by several different people, some including yet several excluding mom. None of these allegations were thoroughly investigated ~~or handled properly~~ by authorities. This caused more reports of abuse to be made ~~in regard~~ against to the father sexually abusing the child. Despite some investigtions going unfounded without proper investigation, example some being closed without talking-to witnesses first, there was more often than not, more signs listed by DCFS supporting the allegation ofabuse against father to be more true than not, which was often overlooked. The signs the abuse occurred outweighed the evidence supporting the allegation didn't occur in multiple investigations.

DCFS used a report called in by medical staff which was a concern that the father had sexually abused the child, and flipped it around to being about mom having Munchausen due to several prior unfounded reports, despite evidence therein showing the abuse occurred, there being corroborative witnesses and evidence thereof, (etc.) A lot of the reports were called in from people other than the mom.

Despite this last report which the doctor's called in as a concern of dad sexually abusing the child, DCFS flipped it around to being about mom having Munchausen and lied on the stand, to get the father custody of the child and an OP ordered. The doctors who called it in, had collected and made concerns of specimens which were noted to be in line with signs of sexual abuse, yet this was ignored by DCFS personnel and mom was in turn attacked, despite corroborative evidence for moms concerns to be valid, thus not Munchausen. (and despite their unthoroughly investigated unfound reports which had evidence and supporting witness statements and concerns in the past, which also went overlooked.)

DCFS then acted in efforts to place the child in the alleged sexually abusive environment and furthermore, the father was being investigated for sexual abuse, even after the child was placed with him proving that he was where this last report originated from. DCFS shouldn't lie on the stand to get an OP granted to place a child with someone still being under investigation for sexual abuse, when the report was rightly called in against that said individual, that was placing the child in direct harm's way.

For the duration that the OP was ordered and the matters were being heard and dragged out at trial in LaSalle County, Down town Ottawa IL, minimal supervised visitation were ordered on November 30th 2016 for the mother to see the child twice a week, which was lowered by the staff after they got started to sporadically like one day every other week or so.

The supervised visitation may have proven more helpful were they offered to the father early on when there were concerns of sexual abuse being raised on multiple occasions, by multiple people, with supporting evidence to back the concerns. (yet ignored overall by authorities or what appeared to be an attempt to brush things under the rug due to biased authority figures, or in general they just didn't know how to do their job, even though protocol spelled it out for them)

on cot. J 2016

protecting the child from her

What led up to this is that there were several prior investigations of allegations made that the father was potentially sexually abusing their common child.

Reports were made by several different people other than mom yet DCFS acted as if mom was one who caused all of them.

These reports were unthoroughly in regards

Witnesses in these cases went unquestioned before closing + unfoundedly several cases + usually the evidence within showed supporting signs of abuse outweighed that there were any signs yet this was continually ignored by authorities.

On or about Oct 03 2016. Child returned from visitation showing signs similar to that sexual abuse compounded of genital pain etc which prompted more gathering evidence

Since if she just documented it no one would probably believe her. She was informed by 2 perv P.D. officers she would need tangible physical evidence to intervene + help her or at least that word alone was not enough + hearsay.

if they were able to do anything

A concern of sexual abuse is far greater than an allegation of Munchausen when the mom had real concerns that were backed by several witnesses, video, medical, picture evidence, corroborative statements, etc. Yet for some reason they find it necessary to have the mom set up with supervised visits, when this all could have been prevented a while sooner had they offered this to the real concerning party when problems persistently kept reiterating themselves. This was a real tragedy and mishandling of on DCFS's part.

Some dates

During the scope of the Hope house visitations, the mom had noticed some disturbing findings.

First, when she made contact with ~~them~~ Hope House officials and met with them at the in the office at the Brandon AKA Larson building ~~Brandon~~ house where the hope house was located at in Ottawa IL Brandon house in Ottawa IL to get the visitations set up, she began to notice something odd. She began to document some strange findings.

The mom first met with Julie Rashad from the Hope house, in efforts with YSB, at the hope house which is located in what is called the Larson building, or the Brandon building (it has two names) in Ottawa IL. This facility is located directly across the street from the Youth Service Bureau facility.

When the mom first arrived, she was left waiting in the hope house by herself while Julie was on the phone with someone briefly for about half an hour. Case notes from another person's file was left out in the open. The mother noticed that the carpet was severely dirty, stained and needed cleaning. She also noticed that there was what looked like pictured of sperm facing the inside of the visitation room on the lower half of the door so the small children could maybe see. (not exactly sure) She took pictures of this as it was a little alarming concerning.

When she finally met with Julie, the conversation went well. She was given some start up papers to sign and to possibly write about any concerns for abuse she was having. Julie told the mom that upon arrival to the visitation there that she should sit on the ground (which was filthy) and wait for the child to arrive, (to be on her level). The mother asked why the carpet was o dirty to while Ms. Rashad explains that it only gets cleaned about once a year. This seemed rather odd to the mom, as it was a child geared facility yet not being cleaned properly. The mom offered to bring her steam vacuum in and clean the place and Ms. Rashad informed her that there was a steam cleaner in the office yet still for some reason the floors only got cleaned about once a year. This seems entirely inappropriate for one, safety reasons, and two, derogatory to have a cleaner and not clean it or let it remain dirty all but once a year, and tell the visiting parent to sit on the filthy ground. It just seemed a little strange to the mom.

Also, the place didn't seem very child friendly and instead that it seemed it was more for like adult conversations, and the seating to where the little kids, having not much else to do, could run up to where to parents were sitting between their legs or cuddle on the couch or whatever (more pedophiliac oriented,) then upright, educational friendly, (good mom child rearing) type visitation environment.

I didn't deserve to have the visitations there in the first place, and at home I was used to having my house set up in a preschool type of environment to where the child can have something to write on, learn and play with puzzles and what not (Show pictures) At the hope house there was nothing like this, no child furniture, no table tops at all. I wonder what is used to commonly going on in there.

Julia Expressed to Ms. Rashad that she wished there was a table for them to write on, and she explained that at times when they want to do an art project or whatever that the kitchen would be open to allow them the ability to go in there and use the table. The building was quite large but the room itself, considered the hope house was small. When the mom had her first meeting there, (I believe only one or may be visit was had at this place. She was told that there was a business meeting in the kitchen and they couldn't use it. Why use the room where the kit can write and draw on when there were other rooms in the building to have meeting at.

The child wanted to color, draw and play with playdough on their first visit, a common thing the mom and childlike do play together and they struggled to find adequate chairs, seating or tables and used cushions, as makeshift tables, making the visitation more awkward and uncomfortable than it needed to be. When the mom offered to donate furniture and new toys for the children, she was told by Ms. Rashad that she could not, so the visitations continued to be more uncomfortable than they had to be. It's unknown if this was a singling out of the mother or policy among people having supervised visitation. The mother afterwards heard through the community that they were in need of donations, yet hers were declined for some reason.

Futher more, the visitations were first held in the Larson building, and then upon arrival of one of the other visitations, the mom was paid a message by Julia Rashad that they would no longer be held at the Hope house (Larson or Brandon) building and instead we would meet across the street at the YSB, Youth service Bureau center. She mentioned this had something to due to a lack of funding or abilities to pay bills or something like that I am not exactly sure.

During about four or so of the visits, Julie, the supervised visitation monitor noticed reoccurring signs of the child displaying sexual abuse.

After about the fourth time, where the child went to her own area in the room and started humping one of the plastic balls, despite being offered things to do and social interaction, that is when Julie informed me that she had been keeping notes and believed it was time to inform her supervisor about her concerns.

After that, I received no phone calls about when visitation would be scheduled. Instead of following the court order, they were just calling me weekly or bi weekly to schedule supervised visits. I think about the firsts two weeks there was some once a week, maybe once twice a week and some spaced out about two weeks. After the concerns were brought up to the supervisor, this worker Julie, was fired and the mother Julia was not paid any contact about when to expect another visitation for about 3 weeks. This is alarming.

If a place that is directed by the state to ensure the safety of children was informed about possible sexual abuse signs, and they fire the worker, ignore the case and the mom, that is really concerning.

Later, another worker, namely Trina Fundsonn got ahold of me and told me she was the replacement for Ms. Rashad. How funny is that how she got fired, or let go and replaced with a worker after she was allegedly to bring up sexual abuse concerns to her supervisor.

I asked the new visitation supervisor if Julie had made aware to her the concerns she told me she would talk to her supervisor about and she told me no, that she hadn't.

We went ahead with scheduling new visitations, and I tried to briefly inform her, I did not like this new lady's character at all. She seemed shady beyond belief. She was dirty and mangy, and under groomed, often un-showered looking in appearance, ratty hair, smelly, (etc.) She informed me that she had been let go from a similar facility, had not worked in a while and volunteered at a cat shelter and this was her first job she had in a while. To me, that seemed under professional. Her domineer wasn't far off from that and it made me more uncomfortable than I feel I should have to be in a facility like that.

When it would be time to leave, she would rush Madeline suddenly while yelling at her to put her shoes on in an angry tone and rushing manner which startled the child half the time.

In further visitations after I began to notice a pattern with her doing that, I made Madeline aware of the clock and tried teaching her about the hands being hour and minute and explained how we had five more minutes and it would be time to go, to prepare her. It was taught this method by Chris Beetz Mendota, IL preschool and parenting class teacher as a method to prepare children for the days ahead to make them more confident was they are not that aware of time at that age. Ms. Beetz of Mendota and the preschool she worked at is where I got one of my two parenting class certificates from, and she often came to our house for in home visits, kid pay groups and parenting classes (etc.) any ways she is who taught me to prepare the child like I was attempting to do to avoid her getting yelled at by Trina the visitation supervisor.

Regarding Trina, I just I also personally didn't like this stranger, supposedly child visitation monitor using the end of the visitation as a time for her to impose her power over my child by yelling at her in an angry thus abuse like tone. I would never be that mean to a child and especially not in a position like hers.

I did this to avoid her getting startled and yelled at by Trina. At the next visitation, the clock was taken off the wall and a picture of two horses was replaced on the wall, the clock nowhere to be found in what appeared to be an attempt to poke at me or was directed at me in some sort of way. As if they didn't approve of my parenting methods. This was close to the end of the visitations.

I got tired of all of the drama and stopped documenting for a while, but pictures were taken for some which I added.

One visitation which bothered me and I didn't find time to jot down what happened. Was when trina was monitoring. Toward the end, Madeline said that she would get touched on her crotch in the Garage with papa, and that he would give her candy for it. I made mention of this to Trina how Julie and I had noticed these concerns from her in the past and all she said was to Madeline, was that she asked Madeline if it felt good or if she had fun or something like that Hmmm, I wonder what she stands for.

That is about it. I don't believe I wrote down all the specifics on the sings she showed, namely a couple of days I believe I didn't document a few of them, but I do recall her showing them, Ms. Rashad making aware she saw them, mentioning she would tell her supervisor and allegedly getting fired from her job or something for bringing awareness to it. The whole situation given the sperm like pictures on the door was overall just sketchy.

They pegged me out at the end like I was some kind of bad guy or something which could lead on to show that their priorities are really screwed up. The mother in general shouldn't have had supervised visitation, due to her telling on the father for sexually abusing her, signs were backed by doctors and witnesses, ignored, downplayed and mother criminalized by DCFS for sticking up for her daughter, when it's their job, as well as YSB and the law to protect children from sexual abuse. Sexual abuse is not healthy and against the law for many solid reasons.

The mother should not be criminalized for being a follower of the law, aiming her efforts at providing the best for her daughter including protecting in line with and according to the law, essentially what these facility workers should be doing, but none were and so it seemed like the mother was essentially doing their job for them. Either they were lazy, don know how to correctly handles these situations or were purposely doing nothing.

When the child showed sings at the facility, they were ignored and mother was made out to look like the bad guy. When mother is acting according to law, that shouldn't outweigh the child showing abuse signs, which was ignored and downplayed by all these individuals.

December 8, 2016

This was the initial meeting with Julie Rashad to start the visitation schedule. Paper work was given to me, reviewed, signed, concerns and rules were discussed, (etc.) This was the first time I went to the Larson Building (otherwise known as the Brandon Center) where the Hope House is located inside of.

When I arrived at the Larson Building. I entered and walked up the stairs and then to the left where the hope house visitation room is. When I walked in, Julie was in her office on the phone with someone where she remained for approximately half an hour while I waited in the room alone, looking around. I noticed some funny stuff like sperm pictures on the inside lower half of the door to the room, which would be at eye level for younger kids. Also, there was someone else's case information just laying out in the open on a chair for anyone to look at, which is against confidentiality I think.

I took pictures of the place. I thought it seemed kind of awkward and insufficient for younger kids, which is what the place is for. The place looked more like an office, for office meetings having office like lounge chairs, instead of kid's furniture. The toys were outdated, minimal and/or broken. There was nowhere for kids to sit and work on a puzzle or something. There was no table for adult or children. Any drawing would have to be done on the arm of a chair. This place seemed appropriate for pedophiles where they could sit and hold or cuddle their kids. Or have them run around and up to them as they sat in their chair.

I Prefer to have proper child seating and adequate furniture to do appropriate child friendly, learning, fun and education activities. The office seemed more for adults, than it did for children, and the sperm on the door, I mean really? Come on!!!

I also noticed the floor was filthy. I have a cleaning business and I know a clean floor when I see one. I took pictures of everything, as it seemed a bit weird and in case I needed documentation.

I waited for about half an hour for Julie to finally come out.

She went over things on the papers that she gave me. She said things like how I should wait on the floor for her to arrive, so I would be at her level. I asked her about the floors and she said that she knew they were dirty and that they had a steam cleaner in the same office she was in and on the phone for the first half hour after my arriving, but that the janitor only cleans the floor one a year. This is absolutely ridiculous as the place is supposed to serve children, and I guarantee that a dirty floor, that parents are being told to sit on and wait for their kids to arrive, do not serve the best interests of the health of anyone in that place. Also, since there was no proper child seating, or kid's furniture, Julie said that often parents would do crafts on the floor, which was filthy and this is completely unacceptable.

I told her how me and my friend Gordon often clean people's houses and have our own steam cleaners and that I would like to steam clean the place for them, since my child was going to be in the place, I at least would prefer it to be clean and sanitary, as it should be. How hard is it to take some of the government grant, tax or donation money and take care of the darn place?

She really didn't say anything about it. She told me things like how when waiting on the ground for her to arrive that I should complement her on her shirt or something to make her feel warm and confident.

She asked if I had any concerns about the father, if he was abusive to me etc. I told her that I felt that he had sexually abused her, and how DCFS took her from me due to them thinking I am false reporting, despite having collateral witnesses and other evidence. I told her I felt the situation should be the other way around and how the father should have had supervised visitation as part of their investigation. She made note of my concerns and said she would keep a look out.

We read through all of the information packet together, I took notes as she went into detail so I had all the information I needed. I signed that I understood, etc. and she informed me that she would contact me when the first visitation would be. She went about it in her own way, claiming that they would pick different days, instead of following the court order.

I asked her if I could clean the carpets and she said no. I asked her if I could bring child furniture and toys as a donation, and she declined. She said I was not allowed to donate a table or chairs etc. She Said that I could bring toys and arts and crafts or things to do while at the hope house visitation with Madeline, and could bring food and drinks etc. She said that some art projects if they do them there, would be approved as okay for the child to take home with them but that I shouldn't bring presents. I asked her where we were supposed to do art projects at and she replied that there was a kitchen in the next room with a table that we could use.
She asked if Kevin was ever abusive to me, and I told her yes, and a little bit about the history.
There seemed to be a pretty good understanding, but I was a little concerned that she declined my generosity to make the hope house a better place.

She said that she had met Kevin and that he had brought Madeline with him there for his portion of the initial meeting to get the hope house meetings rolling.

Instead of following the court order to do visitations Tuesdays and Thursdays from 11-1, I waited for her to let me know when I could come see Maddy.

Pictures of the sperm on the door of the Hope House inside of the Larson (Brandon) building Ottawa IL
Compared to: Sperm identification Science images:
(Looks very similar to the pictures on inside of the door.)





(What are they trying to accomplish here?)

This is me when I was waiting for Julie Rasher on the first day. She was inside her office and I was waiting for half an hour.

The dirty floor:

Papers left out: (confidentiality concerns)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
STATE OF ILLINOIS COUNTY OF LASALLE
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

FILED

ORDER

PROOF OF SERVICE

























December 08, 2016

First Hope House meeting where mom meets with Supervisor and initiates the visitation set up.

This was the first Hope House meeting where just Hope House Supervisor Julie Rashad and mom met to discuss the procedures and get papers signed On December 08, 2016, Saturday, the child's mom met with Julie Rashad, Hope House visitation monitor. Supervisor according to the agreed plan. But at mom's arrival, she noticed something odd and out of place. Mom entered the Larson Building aka Brandon House, where the Hope House was supposed to be located at and headed up the stairs and to the left where this Hope House place was. (It was a small room with office attached) Ms. Rashad was in her office directly to the left when you entered the small Hope house visitation room(center). She was on the telephone with somebody. Rashad told mom to go sit down in the visitation room and said she'd be with her when she was off the phone.

Mom noticed someone's court papers sitting out in the open which is no big deal but a slight privacy concern as they were someone's personal court files and I don't know if they want them being looked at or not.

Then, upon sitting down, mom noticed pictures of white sperm looking things on the lower inside of the visitation room door which could be looked at by tiny babies or children if they were small, it would be in their face.

Mom found this rather off and decided to snap photos of the spermlings and later compared it to actual photos and found nearly identical, similar comparison between the two photographs.

Also, while waiting, mom took photographs around the room for her personal file in case there was a need for it. She noticed the floor appeared filthy, unvacuumed, stained with stuff, etc. She took pics and made a mental note of this. By the time Julie came out, mom had waited approximately half an hour

They went over the protocols, paperwork, and everything. Mom took notes on her phone while Julie was speaking so as to not forget. Julie told mom everything that was on the papers from the meeting, but I explained more in depth to mom. Julie asked if there were any concerns of abuse from the father, and the mom told her from her own perspective of why she was there, and how she felt it was unfair (etc.)

Julie mentioned to mom about arrival techniques, the mom would have to arrive 15 minutes or so before the dad, and wait in the visitation room, and wait at least 5 or so minutes after he was gone, and that they had to arrive at different times to avoid seeing each other or an opportunity for mom to run away with the child.

Ms. Rashad mentioned being on the ground at child level when the child came in for a visit and to compliment them on their shirt for example to help them feel welcome.

Mom mentioned how she noticed the carpets appeared to be unvacuumed. (As this was a child oriented place and possibly ran by the government, it may be a health hazard or something like that)

Julie explained that there is a steam cleaner always in the office she was in, right around the corner, but that the carpets only cleaned about once a year for some reason. She said she didn't know why they only got cleaned once a year. That was alarming to mom as she cleans house multiple times a week. Mother asked Rashad if it would be okay for her to help clean the place and floors for her daughter's health's sake and to donate toys as the ones they had looked outdated. Om also offered furniture for the children as the place

It seemed rather unacceptable or derogatory for that matter to ask someone to specifically sit on the ground when it was in no way shape or form clean by the eye and especially gone dirty, only having it cleaned once a year. This began to worry mom What kind of people were running it. If it were her place, it would not only be cleaned once a year, as there are children in and out of there and germs involved give them more chances to contract colds and what not.

Mom mentioned to Rashad that some of the things that her daughter and her daughter do a lot together were things like work on puzzles, do art, draw and read and how she thought that Madeline would probably like to do similar things during their time together there. Mom said how she was used to teaching Madeline a lot, doing homeschooling preschool projects, babysitting with preschool themed set up, and would do more had she not been busy with court stuff. She told Rashad that she is used to being very involved with Madeline academically and with extra-curricular activities, that she was prepared to be a mom, read lots of books on child development and psychology, how to be the parent possible and that she offered Madeline a lot of structure, but was unprepared for the court madness that came along side of this.

Mom said she noticed there was no table or chairs for the kids at the facility and mom offered again to donate them for the kids clean the carpet and even bring her own vac, was told no by the Hope House visitation monitor. Mom mentioned how she liked to have her place clean for her child and would clean places she had her child at if it was dirty, to ensure the safety and wellness of her child and ensured that she would be more than willing to help out around there. (Since it looked like it needs it.) Like for example when she brought her child with to babysit two kids at a home in Princeton, which is where a DCFS similar to this one took place, where the signs were ignored by them and nothing done about a n abuse incident, despite credible witnesses involved.

Mom expressed that it was common for her to help friends out with babysitting or organizing for the betterment of kids and that it would be no problem to help there since her daughter's concern was involved. She was just trying to be polite and help out. Later, after being denied to donate, mom heard advertisements that the Hope House was accepting donations, and wondered if hers were declined just because it was a client base natured relationship from her and them. Oh well, she thought.

Despite it all, mom is a caring person and good parent with a good hear. Personally, for the best interest of children, I think the place should be upkept a little better, but that's just me.

Julie mentioned that sometimes when necessary, like for an art project, that they could migrate to the kitchen which is off of the hope house visitation room as you can see the door in the photographs. She also said that I could being snacks, toys, art projects, etc. That toys and things to do there would have to be taken home with the parent. No gifts unless holidays. Art projects were fine for taking home with children, they agree they were on the same page. Mom signs the papers and Rashad sent mom on her way.

Pictures of the spermlings on the door

These were on the inside of the Hope house doors located across the street from YSB in Ottawa IL, at the Larson Building, which has two names actually, Larson Building and Brandon Center. The Hope house is immediately to the left at the top of the stairs the these were at face level with eyes of children. Hmm. I wonder what they are trying to accomplish by having pictures of these posted there.

Here is a photo in comparison of the spermlings on the Hope house door to show what I am talking about. It seems rather odd to have such a sexual theme at a government funded child based type of facility or program. I can't see this getting approved by a judge. It seems rather pedophilic if you ask me. But I'm not the judge.



vs.



Papers left out, confidentiality notice.

These were able to be viewed upon first entering the Hope House room. They were left out on a chair in the entrance way, right as you walk in.



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
STATE OF ILLINOIS COUNTY OF LASALLE
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

FILED

ORDER

PROOF OF SERVICE



The Dirty Carpet













December 8, 2016

This was the initial meeting with Julie Rashad to start the visitation schedule. Paper work was given to me, reviewed, signed, concerns and rules were discussed, (etc.) This was the first time I went to the Larson Building (otherwise known as the Brandon Center) where the Hope House is located inside of.

When I arrived at the Larson Building. I entered and walked up the stairs and then to the left where the hope house visitation room is. When I walked in, Julie was in her office on the phone with someone where she remained for approximately half an hour while I waited in the room alone, looking around. I noticed some funny stuff like sperm pictures on the inside lower half of the door to the room, which would be at eye level for younger kids. Also, there was someone else's case information just laying out in the open on a chair for anyone to look at, which is against confidentiality I think.

I took pictures of the place. I thought it seemed kind of awkward and insufficient for younger kids, which is what the place is for. The place looked more like an office, for office meetings having office like lounge chairs, instead of kid's furniture. The toys were outdated, minimal and/or broken. There was nowhere for kids to sit and work on a puzzle or something. There was no table for adult or children. Any drawing would have to be done on the arm of a chair. This place seemed appropriate for pedophiles where they could sit and hold or cuddle their kids. Or have them run around and up to them as they sat in their chair.

I Prefer to have proper child seating and adequate furniture to do appropriate child friendly, learning, fun and education activities. The office seemed more for adults, than it did for children, and the sperm on the door, I mean really? Come on!!!

I also noticed the floor was filthy. I have a cleaning business and I know a clean floor when I see one. I took pictures of everything, as it seemed a bit weird and in case I needed documentation.

I waited for about half an hour for Julie to finally come out.

She went over things on the papers that she gave me. She said things like how I should wait on the floor for her to arrive, so I would be at her level. I asked her about the floors and she said that she knew they were dirty and that they had a steam cleaner in the same office she was in and on the phone for the first half hour after my arriving, but that the janitor only cleans the floor one a year. This is absolutely ridiculous as the place is supposed to serve children, and I guarantee that a dirty floor, that parents are being told to sit on and wait for their kids to arrive, do not serve the best interests of the health of anyone in that place. Also, since there was no proper child seating, or kid's furniture, Julie said that often parents would do crafts on the floor, which was filthy and this is completely unacceptable.

I told her how me and my friend Gordon often clean people's houses and have our own steam cleaners and that I would like to steam clean the place for them, since my child was going to be in the place, I at least would prefer it to be clean and sanitary, as it should be. How hard is it to take some of the government grant, tax or donation money and take care of the darn place?

She really didn't say anything about it. She told me things like how when waiting on the ground for her to arrive that I should complement her on her shirt or something to make her feel warm and confident.

She asked if I had any concerns about the father, if he was abusive to me etc. I told her that I felt that he had sexually abused her, and how DCFS took her from me due to them thinking I am false reporting, despite having collateral witnesses and other evidence. I told her I felt the situation should be the other way around and how the father should have had supervised visitation as part of their investigation. She made note of my concerns and said she would keep a look out.

We read through all of the information packet together, I took notes as she went into detail so I had all the information I needed. I signed that I understood, etc. and she informed me that she would contact me when the first visitation would be. She went about it in her own way, claiming that they would pick different days, instead of following the court order.

I asked her if I could clean the carpets and she said no. I asked her if I could bring child furniture and toys as a donation, and she declined. She said I was not allowed to donate a table or chairs etc. She Said that I could bring toys and arts and crafts or things to do while at the hope house visitation with Madeline, and could bring food and drinks etc. She said that some art projects if they do them there, would be approved as okay for the child to take home with them but that I shouldn't bring presents. I asked her where we were supposed to do art projects at and she replied that there was a kitchen in the next room with a table that we could use.
She asked if Kevin was ever abusive to me, and I told her yes, and a little bit about the history.
There seemed to be a pretty good understanding, but I was a little concerned that she declined my generosity to make the hope house a better place.

She said that she had met Kevin and that he had brought Madeline with him there for his portion of the initial meeting to get the hope house meetings rolling.

Instead of following the court order to do visitations Tuesdays and Thursdays from 11-1, I waited for her to let me know when I could come see Maddy.

Pictures of the sperm on the door of the Hope House inside of the Larson (Brandon) building Ottawa IL
Compared to: Sperm identification Science images:
(Looks very similar to the pictures on inside of the door.)



(What are they trying to accomplish here?)

This is me when I was waiting for Julie Rasher on the first day. She was inside her office and I was waiting for half an hour.

The dirty floor:

Papers left out: (confidentiality concerns)



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
STATE OF ILLINOIS COUNTY OF LASALLE
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE THIRTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

FILED

PROOF OF SERVICE

























Visitation where we did art projects at
(I can't remember the date)

I forgot to document the details on this one, but as you can clearly see, we are making art projects and sitting on make shift furniature we made out of cushions since they didn't have kid furniature at all there. Some pictures were taken and sent to me. It was winter time I believe. Then we worked on her plush cat toy since she claims that no one at her dads or his parents will help her, and when talking about when she would ask them for help working on it or if she could, she appeared afraid at the subject matter of talking to them, Kevin and his family for help. She got this scaraed look on her face as if she wouldn't even want to be close to them even if they offered help. So she doesn't even feel safe working on a project I brought for her, at their house either because a. they are mean to her and deny her the right to play with it. She said they wouldn't let her. B. They don't care about her feelings or to do stuff one on one with her and are negelctful and/ or mean. Or C. They have something personal against me and don't want her doing soemthing that reminds them of or has anything to do with me.

I forgot to document the details on this one, but as you can clearly see, we are making art projects and sitting on make shift furniature we made out of cushions since they didn't have kid furniature at all there. Some pictures were taken and sent to me. It was winter time I believe. Then we worked on her plush cat toy since she claims that no one at her dads or his parents will help her, and when talking about when she would ask them for help working on it or if she could, she appeared afraid at the subject matter of talking to them, Kevin and his family for help. She got this scaraed look on her face as if she wouldn't even want to be close to them even if they offered help. So she doesn't even feel safe working on a project I brought for her, at their house either because a. they are mean to her and deny her the right to play with it. She said they wouldn't let her. B. They don't care about her feelings or to do stuff one on one with her and are negelctful and/ or mean. Or C. They have something personal against me and don't want her doing soemthing that reminds them of or has anything to do with me.



I forgot to document the details on this one, but as you can clearly see, we are making art projects and sitting on make shift furniature we made out of cushions since they didn't have kid furniature at all there. Some pictures were taken and sent to me. It was winter time I believe. Then we worked on her plush cat toy since she claims that no one at her dads or his parents will help her, and when talking about when she would ask them for help working on it or if she could, she appeared afraid at the subject matter of talking to them, Kevin and his family for help. She got this scaraed look on her face as if she wouldn't even want to be close to them even if they offered help. So she doesn't even feel safe working on a project I brought for her, at their house either because a. they are mean to her and deny her the right to play with it. She said they wouldn't let her. B. They don't care about her feelings or to do stuff one on one with her and are negelctful and/ or mean. Or C. They have something personal against me and don't want her doing soemthing that reminds them of or has anything to do with me.